Appeal: 13-2232 Doc: 17 Filed: 01/27/2014 Pg: 1 of 2

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 13-2232

In re: ARTHUR LEE HAIRSTON, SR.,

Petitioner.

On Petition for Writ of Mandamus.

Submitted: January 23, 2014 Decided: January 27, 2014

Before WILKINSON and DIAZ, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit Judge.

Petition denied by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Arthur Lee Hairston, Sr., Petitioner Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

Appeal: 13-2232 Doc: 17 Filed: 01/27/2014 Pg: 2 of 2

PER CURIAM:

Arthur Lee Hairston, Sr., petitions for a writ of mandamus, alleging the district court has unduly delayed acting on his complaint. He seeks an order from this court directing the district court to act. Our review of the district court's docket reveals that the district court has recently dismissed his complaint. Accordingly, because the district court has recently decided Hairston's case, we deny the mandamus petition as moot. We further deny Hairston's motion to waive fees. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

PETITION DENIED